

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,415	07/23/2003	Karl H. Weisgraber	UCAL-282	2272
24353 BOZICEVIC, I	7590 05/30/2007 FIELD & FRANCIS LLP		EXAMINER	
1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE			SRIVASTAVA, KAILASH C	
	SUITE 200 EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			. 05/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

DW-1918		Application No.	Applicant(s)
All Participants: (1) Jon P. Weber	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/626,415	WEISGRABER ET AL.
All Participants: (1) Jon P. Weber. (2) Paula Borden. (3)	Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
(1) Jon P. Weber: (2) Paula Borden. (3) (2) Paula Borden. (4) Date of Interview: 24 May 2007	·	Jon P. Weber	1657
Capable Borden: Capable Borden: Capable Of Interview: 24 May 2007 Time:	All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
Date of Interview: 24 May 2007 Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 112/1 Claims discussed: 112/1 Claims discussed: 1.4 and 21 Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The non-responsive letter of 18 May 2007 is hereby vacated. The examiner expects to act on the response of 02 Mar 2007 in the next biweek. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview, and the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(1) <u>Jon P. Weber</u> .	(3)	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 112/1 Claims discussed: 1-4 and 21 Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The non-responsive letter of 18 May 2007 is hereby vacated. The examiner expects to act on the response of 02 Mar 2007 in the next biweek. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview, and the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(2) <u>Paula Borden</u> .	(4)	
Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant □ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: □ Yes □ No □ If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 112/1 Claims discussed: 1-4 and 21 Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The non-responsive letter of 18 May 2007 is hereby vacated. The examiner expects to act on the response of 02 Mar 2007 in the next biweek. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview of the in	Date of Interview: 24 May 2007	Time:	
Rejection(s) discussed: 1.4 and 21 Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The non-responsive letter of 18 May 2007 is hereby vacated. The examiner expects to act on the response of 02 Mar 2007 in the next biweek. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	 ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No 	nt's representative)	
Claims discussed: 1-4 and 21 Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The non-responsive letter of 18 May 2007 is hereby vacated. The examiner expects to act on the response of 02 Mar 2007 in the next biweek. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.		·
Prior art documents discussed: None Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The non-responsive letter of 18 May 2007 is hereby vacated. The examiner expects to act on the response of 02 Mar 2007 in the next biweek. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview; since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	• • • •		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The non-responsive letter of 18 May 2007 is hereby vacated. The examiner expects to act on the response of 02 Mar 2007 in the next biweek. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview; since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The non-responsive letter of 18 May 2007 is hereby vacated. The examiner expects to act on the response of 02 Mar 2007 in the next biweek. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview; since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
The non-responsive letter of 18 May 2007 is hereby vacated. The examiner expects to act on the response of 02 Mar 2007 in the next biweek. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview; since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. JON WEBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAME JON WEBER	Part II.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview; since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	The non-responsive letter of 18 May 2007 is hereby vacated. The		
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview; since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. JONWEBER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXALE SUPERVISORY	Part III.		
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate result.	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	interview; since the interview
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM			
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM	·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		1 / / B	JON WEBER UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
(Abbusiness)	(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)